poets, through an elementary analysis of the images and other references to the sensory universe.

shows of expertise. There is only the eternal struggle to elucidate challenged. There are no opportunities here for comforting must think, revise his ideas, make an effort to keep up to date. satisfactions. Here the teacher cannot be sure of his ground. He erary developments offers by contrast great difficulties and few clumsy. The discussion of values, of social, intellectual and litthem to advantage. He is the expert craftsman among the teacher has mastered these skills back in the past. He can display unseens, we are engaged in imparting technical skills. The intellectual and social problems. This will not be easy to achieve. interest, based also on an informed understanding of literary, and ill-informed treatment to teaching fired by a passionate the lessons we give now. The need is for a shift from a cursory None of these topics are new. None are altogether absent from His own principles, the way he conducts his life may come to be In prose composition, in the unravelling of syntax problems and and educate.

Two things emerge forcibly from any serious consideration of what one might call 'the Latin problem'. The first is that some considerable change in teaching method is inevitable if the subject is to survive. The second is that the majority of the profession – and particularly its older members – are bound to feel opposed to this change, which will demand great sacrifices on their part. We are faced, through no fault of our own, with a situation where our only alternative to hard work and hard thinking is to watch our subject dwindle till it disappears from the curriculum and leaves us stranded. The testing moment has arrived for classical studies. We must prove that they are fortifying, that they strengthen man's power to deal with the problems of life. We must prove their worth or see them perish.

R. R. BOLGAR is a Fellow of King's College, Cambridge, and the author of 'The Classical Heritage and its Beneficiaries'

## The Place of Classics in a New University

MARTIN WIGHT

specialists, or regrets that the status of classical studies has been an existing classical department sees a new university being classical specialists who are coming up from the schools. (When ments seemed likely to be able to cope with the numbers of For this there was a cogent reason. The existing classical depart-Sussex to establish no specialised teaching of Greek and Latin. It was an initial decision of the founders of the University of to have classical studies, so it was decided not (at any rate for Therefore, just as it was decided not (at any rate for the present) plans that it should be a modern-minded and forward-looking weakened?) Moreover, it was implicit in the original Sussex being spared a rival's claim on a limited number of classical founded without a classical department, should it feel relief at specialist has plenty of scope among the older universities. specialists.) It was argued that the classical or medieval ing the specialist load of the modern linguist and modern the present) to have medieval studies. The chief way of lightenuniversity, with its centre of gravity in contemporary studies. larger proportion of historians than of linguists become medieval ects. (Here perhaps a false analogy lurks, because a much historian has been to jettison the medieval aspects of their sub-

to combine the benefits of a specialised with a general education, and to break down the partition walls between specialisms by organising the University not in departments but in loose and flexible Schools of Studies. For half their work, Sussex undergraduates specialise in a particular discipline – their major subject. For the other half of their work, they study a broad background to their particular discipline, organised by the School they have chosen to belong to, in company with undergraduates majoring in different disciplines.

Among the three earliest of such Schools of Studies is the School of European Studies, conceived as the grouping of teachers and students whose focus of interest is European civilisation. The School of European Studies no doubt owed something to the apparent likelihood of Britain's entering the Common Market. It has owed more to the attempt at Oxford, in the years after 1945, to introduce a 'European Greats', a degree based on the integrated study of the history, philosophy and literature of medieval and modern Europe, comparable to Honour Mods. and Greats in relation to classical civilisation. In planning European Studies, we have had the aim of producing modern linguists, historians, philosophers, economists, political scientists and geographers, who would know their own subjects in depth, and at the same time would be able to relate them to a general background of European culture.

How to interpret and give content to this phrase, 'a general background of European culture'? The first answer was that all undergraduates in the School of European Studies should do, among their background or contextual papers, one on the foundations of European civilisation. If historians and linguists were to begin their studies not earlier than the sixteenth century, with the emergence of 'modern' Europe (in the sense in which modern is contrasted with medieval as well as with ancient), they ought to know something of the European debate up to that point. The aim of this paper, then, is to open for them some windows on both the classical and the medieval worlds. What form should such a paper take?

suffocate the simple moral and literary response to the classics cardibus scopuli nourish our being at the roots. Is there perhaps a relevance, sometimes congratulate themselves that they too can studies have only an ancillary role. The argument may have a or the Divine Comedy, transcend our limiting distinctions between great works which, like Thucydides or Lucretius, the City of Goa ation is communion with the finest minds, a study of some of the ground where they all meet. There are basic principles underation through the combined study of history, philosophy and ment of Europe as a distinct community. But the central idea of as a paper in social and political history, a study of the developas did degenerate philology for the generation of Nicholas of the Roman Senate may develop a new scholasticism, that will danger that the fascinations of Linear-B and the prosopography το σοφον ού φθονώ χαίρω θηρεύουσα and plenum exiliis mare, infects this is not why we still want to read Aeschylus and Horace, why by radio-carbon and remanent magnetism. Well and good; but photography, infra-red photography of documents, and dating make use of the techniques of anthropology, psychology, aerial their eagerness to show that their subject has a contemporary bearing on classical studies themselves. Classical conferences, in niques which have come to assist and surround the humane view, the array of historical, sociological and scientific techconsensus can be discovered, criticised and renewed. On this values of a civilisation are carried down and in which its moral still centre upon literature, as the vehicle in which the shared Another is that a humane education, in the desirable sense, must the literary, the historical, the philosophical and the scientific lying this. One is the conviction that an essential part of educwhich provides the intellectual thread or axis of the School, the literature; and it is intellectual history, the history of ideas, the School of European Studies is to approach European civilis-There were several possibilities. It might have been conceived Murray Butler and Housman?

The majority of Europeans ('c'est aussi une majorité que celle qui se compte par générations', as Guizot said) would agree that

from the original. translation, students would be expected to recognise quotations with Italian and English on facing pages, made it possible to Penguin version. But the existence of the Temple Classics Dante, moral awareness. These set books are to be read, of course, in then, were the chosen windows on the formative phases of contrasts, it is useful to study together with the Aeneid. Here, Comedy, which, both for the Virgilian link and for the literary civilisation too is summed up in a single master-piece, the Divine admirable Penguin volume contains only book iii.) Medieval this proved difficult to obtain in a good translation - Dr. Grant's illustrating the natural law tradition of moral philosophy; but the only reason against setting the Aeneid was that it might be measure of agreement about answering, 'the death of Socrates' To ask what is the most important intellectual achievement of ations' paper. Another was to enquire, what aspects of Greek, of philosophy. This was one line of thought in devising the 'Foundprescribe that while the Divine Comedy too was to be studied in Portrait of Socrates, and the Aeneid in Mr. Jackson Knight's translation: the Apology and Phaedo in Livingstone's volume European civilisation, and the texts illustrating its tradition of (There was an original intention of setting Cicero's De Officiis, as too hackneyed, and spoiled by the experience of the G.C.E. Roman literature by contrast has one all-surpassing peak, and Let the Apology and Phaedo therefore be the set books on Greece. the most important event in Greek history, there might be a Bacchae, the Republic, the Ethics? But if our question is, what was distinguish between the Iliad, the Oresteia, Thucydides, the Greece might invite several conflicting answers. How does one Roman, of medieval civilisation are most worth knowing about? the distinctive intellectual tradition of Europe is one of moral

It was a justified criticism of this course that it was too literary, and ignored the interests of the student who might be going to major in geography or economics. Accordingly an alternative option has been provided, to illustrate the parallel tradition of political thought in classical and medieval history; with the

Republic, selections from Aquinas's political writings, and Machiavelli's Prince as the set books. But the appeal of Virgil and Dante seems so great that, in the second year, this alternative has found no takers.

covering ground which is included in no major subject. But all students in the School, with additional texts in the different a selection of seminal works. There is a core of texts common to ture. One is a literature paper in the Preliminary Examination classical literature in translation into general European literaand modern European tragedy. called 'Tragedy', which has been happily imported from Camsystematic attempt to combine them in a single syllabus. The classical and modern literature that might be expected in a fiction, the Odyssey, the Decameron in selections, Emma and mon texts are Oedipus Rex, King Lear, and Hedda Gabler; for modern languages that may be offered. For tragedy, the comliterary forms, and to the concerns of literary criticism, through Tragedy and Fiction', and seeks to introduce freshmen to these taken by undergraduates expecting to enter the School of there are two other attempts in the Sussex syllabus to integrate The paper just described is sui generis. It is a background paper, bridge, and includes Greek, Elizabethan, French neo-classic, same principle appears in a paper in the English major subject Madame Bovary. This probably represents the balance between European Studies. It is called 'Critical Reading: European

The classicist's question about all such attempts at conveying classical literature in translation is whether they will stimulate an interest in Latin and Greek themselves and a desire to get behind translations to the originals. On this no positive evidence has come to hand, beyond unsolicited statements of awareness of deprivation through being unable to read the classical languages. But it has been encouraging to find two students, innocent of any Italian except what can be inferred from A-level Latin and French, battering their way through the working for this paper, and many more quoting the Italian

maintain their little classical knowledge. incentive in a conventional French or History department to who are modern linguists and historians, would have had whether the rubric devised for Dante might not be extended to value of allowing the use of translations.) So many essays quoted Georgics in the original, as well as translated. Now these students, Virgil's thought, to have the illustrative passages from the there was a general wish, in lectures on the development of appreciate much better the Fourth or Sixth Books read in Latin. that, now they had read the whole Aeneid through, they could done a book of the Aeneid for O-level or A-level, and who could students who already have acquaintance with Virgil, having used, but here to be eagerly refurbished. The first year's teachis still brought from school to university, generally to rust unamount of what Professor Brink has called 'small Latin' which Virgil. Some showed a knowledge of Horace's Odes as well; and the Aeneid in Latin rather than in translation that one wondered be excited by consolidating what they already know. Some said ing for the 'Foundations' paper revealed a good number of (There are however classics teachers in schools who know the freely in their essays. And one was agreeably surprised by the

There is at Sussex another paper in which classical interests can be shown. In the original plans the history to be taught in the School of European Studies was limited to modern history. In the course of the first year it was decided to put on two options in ancient history – the Fall of Athens (478-362 B.C.) and the Roman Revolution (78 B.C.-14 A.D.). The result was that as many undergraduates in European Studies chose Greek History as any other history period. Why has Greek history been among the most popular historical options, and this with the abler undergraduates too? Partly, perhaps, romantic notions of sunshine on the Acropolis and triremes rippling over the blue Aegean, nourished by The Last of the Wine. Partly a desire to get away from the nineteenth century history done at school. Partly a wish to read more of Plato and more about Plato, inspired by having read the Apology and Phaedo (and the Meno, Protagoras,

and parts of the *Republic* as well) for the European Foundations paper. Mainly, I think, intelligent desire to study one of the peaks of human experience. And teaching this period has confirmed the value of ancient history as a historical discipline for Greekless students, and their ability to grapple usefully with the *Athenian Politeia* and the *Athenian Tribute Lists* as well as with the dramatists, and to gain an understanding of Thucydides' reputation as a supreme historian.

The short and uncertain record of a new university perhaps allows some general reflections. First, in so far as the new universities may not start out with classical studies, they will not attract applications from classical specialists, who will continue to go elsewhere. But one may be reasonably confident that though classical studies may be neglected initially tamen usque recurrent. It is already clear at Sussex that the School of European Studies would accommodate a major in Latin as well as it does a major in a modern language: and a major in classical studies, against the background of the later development of European civilisation, is an intriguing speculation. It will not come in this quinquennium, but it may in the next, to attract applicants wanting something broader than is offered by existing classical departments.

But in twenty years' time the Sussex experiments will probably seem cautious and conservative. Classical studies themselves are not untouched by the restlessness that is apparent among university teachers about the present organisation of academic knowledge. There is a widespread desire to re-examine the relations between the traditional Arts subjects, and to find new connections and groupings. Others of the new universities besides Sussex are likely to try to organise European civilisation as a unit of undergraduate study. This may lead to a breaking down, on the one side, of the disciplinary divisions between history, philosophy and literature; on the other side, of the chronological divisions between classical, medieval and modern studies. Hitherto we have said that a man is educated who has an honours degree in, for example, classics, or French language

critical and representative moment in the experience of Europe, and Augustine, the Twelfth Century Renaissance, the Age of three of the following imaginary examples: the Athens of man in one who has taken an honours degree in the study of any another period of culture which is prepared to see an educated and literature, or history, or philosophy. We might move into classics would find himself one of a larger team than he has been able to keep up their languages by regular class-work (and who and each can be studied, in depth, by the combination of his-French Revolution, the Russian Revolution. Each of these is a Pericles and Plato, the Rome of Cicero and Virgil, or of Julian used to, and commanding the attention of more undergraduates translation by others. In such a development, the lecturer in would of course obtain special credit on this account) and in texts to be read in the original by those candidates who were implications of such a development would be for the prescribed torical, literary and philosophical disciplines. The linguistic major in some subject other than classics. integral part of the finals work of undergraduates who will insights. There may be a new life for classical studies, as an but mediocre specialisation for wider understanding and fresh that he was exchanging, not sound knowledge for superficiality, though for a smaller proportion of their time. He might well find Dante, the Italian Renaissance, the Scientific Revolution, the

This could mean a new deal for under-privileged classicists – I mean those who would never be among the aristocracy who can use a gradus, but who none the less are sincerely moved by the classical literature they have begun to read, and would like to maintain their ability to read it and even to read more. Of these it can be hoped that they might graduate in middle life into the class to which Sir Harold Nicolson once confessed that he belonged, who read their Loebs on the right-hand page but mark them on the left-hand page.

More important, it could bring advantages for the ordinary mediocre student. I have in mind the student whom the conventional specialised honours system compels to make an early

choice of subject which he often admits to have been determined not by intelligent commitment, but by fortuitous circumstance; who will get no more than a lower second in finals; and who suffers from an uncertainty about the kind of man that is admirable and the kind of life that is desirable, a spiritual malaise which makes the traditional university subjects largely irrelevant to his personal needs. It is permissible to hope that the founding of the new universities is part of an educational trend which will make universities in general regard it as their concern to do more than train minds to the highest degree of competence, by providing a more balanced education for the second-class student. And if a tincture of classical studies will not help the young to satisfy their desire for intellectual and creative completeness, why our efforts to keep classical studies alive?

If there is anything in this, then classical teachers who are struggling to convert O-level Latin or Greek into a vehicle for as wide a reading knowledge as possible of classical literature, aided by a judiciously unconventional use of translations and dictionaries, and unembarrassed by prose compositions – such teachers may think less unkindly of the new universities.

MARTIN WIGHT is Dean of European Studies in the University of Sussex